[AI2613 Lecture 12] Gaussian Processes, Brownian Bridge

June 9, 2023

1 Gaussian Processes and Brownian Motion

In the last lecture, we defined the standard Brownian motion:

Definition 1 (Standard Brownian Motion / Wiener Process). We say a stochastic process $\{W(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion or Wiener process if it satisfies

- W(0) = 0;
- Independent increments: $\forall 0 \le t_0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_n$, $W(t_1) W(t_0)$, $W(t_2) - W(t_1), \ldots, W(t_n) - W(t_{n-1})$ are mutually independent;
- Stationary increments: $\forall s, t > 0, W(s+t) W(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t);$
- W(t) is continuous almost surely.¹

Today we will give another characterization of Brownian motions in terms of the *Gaussian process*. First recall the notion of high dimensional Gaussian distribution. A vector of random variables $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ is said to be Gaussian iff $\forall a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$ is a one-dimensional Gaussian. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n)$ where $\mu_i = \mathbf{E} [X_i]$. Let $\Sigma = (\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j))_{i,j}$. Then the probability density function f of $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ is

for
$$x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), f(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \cdot |\det \Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}$$

Definition 2 (Gaussian Process). A stochastic process $\{X(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is called Gaussian process if $\forall 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_n$, $(X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_n))$ is Gaussian.

Note that a Gaussian vector can be characterized by its mean vector and the covariance matrix. Standard Brownian motion is a special family of Gaussian processes where the covariance of X(s) and X(t) is $s \wedge t$.

Definition 3 (Standard Brownian Motion/Standard Wiener Process). We say a stochastic process $\{W(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion or Wiener process if it satisfies

- $\{W(t)\}_{t>0}$ is an almost surely continuous Gaussian Process;
- $\forall s \geq 0, \mathbb{E}[W(s)] = 0;$
- $\forall 0 \le s \le t$, Cov(W(s), W(t)) = s.

We will show that it is easier to use Definition 3 to verify that a certain stochastic process is a Brownian motion. Let us first verify that the two definitions are equivalent.

¹ Let Ω be the sample space. Then W can be viewed as a mapping from $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ to \mathbb{R} . The meaning of "W(t) is continuous almost surely" is: $\exists \Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$ with $\Pr[\Omega_0] = 1$ such that $\forall \omega \in \Omega_0, W(t, \omega)$ is continuous with regard to t.

Proposition 4. The two definitions of standard Brownian motions are equivalent.

Proof. Given Definition 1, it is easy to know that $\mathbb{E}[W(s)] = 0$ for all $s \ge 0$ since $W(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, s)$. What we need is to verify that $\{W(t)\}_{t\ge 0}$ in Definition 1 is a Gaussian process and to compute the covariance of W(s) and W(t) in Definition 1.

Note that $\forall 0 \le s < t$ and $\forall a, b$, we have

$$aW(s) + bW(t) = (a+b)W(s) + b(W(t) - W(s)).$$

Since W(s) and W(t)-W(s) are two independent Gaussian's, aW(s)+bW(t) is still a Gaussian.

By the distributive law of covariance, for any $0 \le s \le t$, we have

$$Cov(W(s), W(t)) = Cov(W(s), W(t) - W(s) + W(s))$$
$$= Cov(W(s), W(t) - W(s)) + Cov(W(s), W(s))$$
$$= Var[W(s)] = s.$$

Then we consider the counterpart. Given Definition 3, we can deduce the first and fourth property in Definition 1 directly. For any $0 \le t_{i-1} \le t_i \le t_{i-1} \le t_i$, we have

$$Cov(W(t_i) - W(t_{i-1}), W(t_j) - W(t_{j-1}))$$

= Cov(W(t_i), W(t_j)) + Cov(W(t_{i-1}), W(t_{j-1}))
- Cov(W(t_i), W(t_{j-1})) - Cov(W(t_{i-1}), W(t_j))
= t_i + t_{i-1} - t_i - t_{i-1} = 0,

which yields the independence of $W(t_i) - W(t_{i-1})$ and $W(t_j) - W(t_{j-1})$. Thus, the $\{W(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ in Definition 3 satisfies independent increments.

It is easy to verify that $\forall s, t > 0$, W(s + t) - W(s) is a Gaussian with mean 0. Note that

$$Var [W(t+s) - W(s)] = E [(W(t+s) - W(s))^{2}]$$

= E [W(t+s)^{2}] + E [W(s)^{2}] - 2E [W(t+s)W(s)]
= Var [W(t+s)^{2}] + Var [W(s)^{2}] - 2Cov (W(t+s), W(s))
= t+s+s-2s = t.

Thus, the $\{W(t)\}_{t>0}$ in Definition 3 satisfies stationary increments.

Example 1. Suppose $\{W(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion. We claim that $\{X(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is also a standard Brownian motion where X(0) = 0 and $X(t) = t \cdot W(\frac{1}{t})$ for t > 0.

We verify the three requirements in Definition 3.

Since $X(t) = t \cdot W(\frac{1}{t})$ which is the composition of two (almost surely) continuous function, $\{X(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is continuous almost surely as well. For any

It is worth noting that the sum of two Gaussians is not necessarily a Gaussian, unless they are joint Gaussian. Independence is just a special case of joint Gaussian (the covariance is zero). a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n and $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \ge 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X(t_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i t_i \cdot W(\frac{1}{t_i})$. Since $\{W(t)\}$ is standard Brownian motion, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i t_i \cdot W(\frac{1}{t_i})$ is Gaussian. Thus, $\{X(t)\}_{t\ge 0}$ is a Gaussian process. For $0 \le s < t$,

$$Cov(X(s), X(t)) = Cov(sW(\frac{1}{s}), tW(\frac{1}{t}))$$
$$= st \cdot Cov(W(\frac{1}{s}), W(\frac{1}{t}))$$
$$= st \cdot \frac{1}{t} = s.$$

Thus, $\{X(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion.

2 Brownian Bridge

In the last lecture, we already caluclated the distribution of W(t) conditioned on W(u) = x for some $u \ge t$. We use X(t) to denote this process, and X(t) is usually called a *Brownian bridge*.



Figure 1: A Brownian bridge

We know from previous calculations that $X(t) \sim N(\frac{t}{u}x, \frac{t(u-t)}{u})$ is a Gaussian. Since the *conditional distribution of a multidimensional Gaussian distribution is Gaussian as well*, X(t) is a Gaussian process. As a result, it is useful to compute the covariance of this process.

Recall W(t) is a standard Brownian motion. For any $s \le t$, we have

$$Cov(X(s), X(t)) = Cov(W(s), W(t) | W(u) = x)$$

= E [W(s) · W(t) | W(u) = x] - E [W(s) | W(u) = x] · E [W(t) | W(u) = x]
= $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} yE [W(s) | W(t) = y, W(u) = x] · f_{W(t) | W(u)} (y | x) dy - \frac{st}{u^2}x^2.$
= $\frac{s}{t}E [W(t)^2 | W(u) = x] - \frac{st}{u^2}x^2$
= $\frac{s(u-t)}{u}.$

Definition 5 (Standard Brownian Bridge). *A standard Brownian motion ending at* W(1) = 0 *is called a standard Brownian bridge.*

We can verify that X(t) = W(t) - tW(1) is a standard Brownian bridge by calculating its mean and covariances.
$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[W(t)^2 \mid W(u) = x\right] = \mathbb{Var}\left[X(t)\right] + \\ & \mathbb{E}\left[X(t)\right]^2 \end{split}$$

Again like we did in the last lecture, we can compute the hitting time of a standard Brownian bridge using the principle of reflection.

Example 2 (Hitting Time in a Brownian Bridge). Let $\{W(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion. Let $\tau_b \triangleq \inf \{t \geq 0 \mid W(t) > b\}$. Then we compute $\Pr[\tau_b < u \mid W(u) = x]$. Note that if b < x, $\Pr[\tau_b < u \mid W(u) = x] = 1$. Let ψ be the probability density function of standard Gaussian distribution, that is, $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$. If b > x, letting dx be an infinitesimal change, we have

$$\Pr\left[\tau_b < u \mid W(u) = x\right] = \frac{\Pr\left[\tau_b < u \land W(u) \in [x, x + dx]\right]}{\Pr\left[W(u) \in [x, x + dx]\right]}$$
$$= \frac{\Pr\left[\tau_b < u\right] \cdot \Pr\left[W(u) \in [x, x + dx] \mid \tau_b < u\right]}{f_u(x) \, dx}.$$

If we have known the value of τ_b and $\tau_b < u$, we can regard $\{W(u)\}_{t \ge \tau_b}$ as a Brownian motion starting from b. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left[\tau_b < u\right] \cdot \Pr\left[W(u) \in [x, x + dx] \mid \tau_b < u\right] &= \Pr\left[\tau_b < u\right] \cdot \Pr\left[W(u) \in [2b - x - dx, 2b - x] \mid \tau_b < u\right] \\ &= \Pr\left[\tau_b < u \land W(u) \in [2b - x - dx, 2b - x]\right] \\ &= \Pr\left[W(u) \in [2b - x - dx, 2b - x]\right] \\ &= f_u(2b - x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when b > x, $\Pr[\tau_b < u | W(u) = x] = \frac{f_u(2b-x)}{f_u(x)} = e^{-\frac{2b(b-x)}{u}}$. When b = x, we have

$$\Pr\left[\tau_b < u \mid W(u) = b\right] = \frac{\Pr\left[\tau_b < u \land W(u) \in [b, b + db]\right]}{\Pr\left[W(u) \in [b, b + db]\right]}$$

Note that

 $\Pr\left[\tau_b < u \land W(u) \in [b, b + db]\right] = \Pr\left[\tau_b < u\right] - \Pr\left[\tau_b < u \land W(u) > b + db\right] - \Pr\left[\tau_b < u \land W(u) < b\right].$ (1)

We know that $\Pr[\tau_b < u] = 2\left(1 - \Phi\left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{u}}\right)\right)$ *. Note that*

$$\mathbf{Pr} \left[\tau_b < u \land W(u) > b + db \right] = \mathbf{Pr} \left[W(u) > b + db \right]$$
$$= 1 - \Phi \left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{u}} \right) - \mathbf{Pr} \left[W(u) \in [b, b + db] \right]$$

And

$$\Pr\left[\tau_b < u \land W(u) < b\right] = \Pr\left[\tau_b < u\right] \cdot \Pr\left[W(u) < b \mid \tau_b < u\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr\left[\tau_b < u\right] = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{u}}\right).$$

Thus, Equation (1) equals to $\Pr[W(u) \in [b, b + db]]$ and

$$\Pr[\tau_b < u \mid W(u) = b] = 1.$$

3 Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

In this section, we introduce an application of Brownian Bridge, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

Suppose that $U_1, U_2, ..., U_n$ are independently sampled from some distribution [0, 1] with CDF F. We would like to check if it is a uniform distribution, i.e., if the *F* satisfies F(t) = t for every $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let \widehat{F}_n be the empirical cumulative distribution function, that is, for $t \in [0,1], \widehat{F}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}[U_i \leq t]$. It then follows from the law of large numbers that

$$\widehat{F}_n(t) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{F}_n(t)\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Pr}\left[U_i \le t\right] = F(t).$$

The idea of Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is to monitor the variable $\widehat{F}_n(t) - t$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and reject the uniformity hypothesis if there exists some t that $\left|\widehat{F}_n(t) - t\right|$ is large. Then our goal is to find a suitable rejection threshold b such that if F is indeed a uniform distribution, the failure probability $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Pr\left[\max_{t\in[0,1]} \left|\widehat{F}_n(t) - t\right| \ge b\right]$ is sufficiently small (i.e., $\le \frac{1}{100}$). If F is a uniform distribution, for a fixed t, we have

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{F}_{n}(t)\right] = F(t) = t;$$

$$\mathbf{Var}\left[\widehat{F}_{n}(t)\right] = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{Var}\left[\mathbf{1}[U_{i} \le t]\right] = \frac{1}{n} \cdot t(1-t).$$

Let $X_n(t) \triangleq \sqrt{n} \cdot (\widehat{F}_n(t) - t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. By the Central Limit Theorem, we have $X_n(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t(1-t))$ when $n \to \infty$. For any $0 \le s \le t \le 1$,

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_n(s), X_n(t)) = n \cdot \operatorname{Cov}\left(\widehat{F}_n(s) - s, \widehat{F}_n(t) - t\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}[U_i \le s], \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}[U_i \le t]\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbf{1}[U_1 \le s], \mathbf{1}[U_1 \le t]\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Pr}\left[U_1 \le s, U_1 \le t\right] - \operatorname{Pr}\left[U_1 \le s\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[U_1 \le t\right]$$
$$= s(1 - t).$$

For any $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \cdots \le t_k \le 1$, let $\Sigma = (\text{Cov}(X_n(t_i), X_n(t_j)))_{i,j}$. It follows from the high-dimensional Central Limit Theorem that

$$(X_n(t_1), X_n(t_2), \ldots, X_n(t_k))^T \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma) \sim (X(t_1), X(t_2), \ldots, X(t_k))^T,$$

where $\{X(t)\}$ is a standard Brownian Bridge. Then using the result in Example 2, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pr\left[\max_{t \in [0,1]} \widehat{F}_n(t) - t \ge b\right] = \Pr\left[\max_{t \in [0,1]} X(t) \ge \sqrt{n}b\right]$$
$$= \Pr\left[\tau_{\sqrt{n}b} < 1 \mid W(1) = 0\right] = \exp\{-2nb^2\}.$$