### Algorithms for Big Data (I)

Chihao Zhang

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Sept. 20, 2019

Instructor: Chihao Zhang

- Instructor: Chihao Zhang
- Course Homepage: http://chihaozhang.com/teaching/BDA2019fall

- Instructor: Chihao Zhang
- Course Homepage: http://chihaozhang.com/teaching/BDA2019fall
- Time: Every Friday, 12:55 15:40

- Instructor: Chihao Zhang
- Course Homepage: http://chihaozhang.com/teaching/BDA2019fall
- Time: Every Friday, 12:55 15:40
- Office hour: Every Monday, 18:00 20:00

- Instructor: Chihao Zhang
- Course Homepage: http://chihaozhang.com/teaching/BDA2019fall
- Time: Every Friday, 12:55 15:40
- Office hour: Every Monday, 18:00 20:00
- ► Grading: Homework 60%, Final Exam 40%

- Instructor: Chihao Zhang
- Course Homepage: http://chihaozhang.com/teaching/BDA2019fall
- Time: Every Friday, 12:55 15:40
- Office hour: Every Monday, 18:00 20:00
- ▶ Grading: Homework 60%, Final Exam 40%
- Pre-request: Algorithms, Basic Probability Theory

We learnt many efficient algorithms before...

We learnt many efficient algorithms before...

- Dijkstra algorithm, Floyd algorithm, Blossom algorithm...
- ► These algorithms costs polynomial-time.

We learnt many efficient algorithms before...

- Dijkstra algorithm, Floyd algorithm, Blossom algorithm...
- ► These algorithms costs polynomial-time.

What if the input is too large to store?

We learnt many efficient algorithms before...

- Dijkstra algorithm, Floyd algorithm, Blossom algorithm...
- These algorithms costs polynomial-time.

What if the input is too large to store?

Throw some of them away! sublinear space algorithms.

#### **A programmer for routers**

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.



A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.
A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

#### A programmer for routers

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.



#### A programmer for routers

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

- How many numbers?
- How many distinct numbers?

A router has limited memory, but needs to process large data...

The router can monitor the id of devices connecting to it.

- How many numbers?
- How many distinct numbers?
- What is the most frequent number?

## **Streaming Model**

The input is a sequence  $\sigma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$  where each  $a_i \in [n]$ 

The input is a sequence  $\sigma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$  where each  $a_i \in [n]$ 

One can process the input stream using at most *s* bits of memory

The input is a sequence  $\sigma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$  where each  $a_i \in [n]$ 

One can process the input stream using at most *s bits* of memory

We say the algorithm is sublinear if  $s = o(\min \{m, n\})$ .

The input is a sequence  $\sigma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$  where each  $a_i \in [n]$ 

One can process the input stream using at most *s bits* of memory

We say the algorithm is sublinear if  $s = o(\min \{m, n\})$ .

We can ask

How many numbers (what is m?)

The input is a sequence  $\sigma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$  where each  $a_i \in [n]$ 

One can process the input stream using at most *s bits* of memory

We say the algorithm is sublinear if  $s = o(\min \{m, n\})$ .

We can ask

- How many numbers (what is m?)
- How many distinct numbers?

The input is a sequence  $\sigma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$  where each  $a_i \in [n]$ 

One can process the input stream using at most *s bits* of memory

We say the algorithm is sublinear if  $s = o(\min \{m, n\})$ .

We can ask

- How many numbers (what is m?)
- How many distinct numbers?
- What is the median of  $\sigma$ ?

The input is a sequence  $\sigma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$  where each  $a_i \in [n]$ 

One can process the input stream using at most *s bits* of memory

We say the algorithm is sublinear if  $s = o(\min \{m, n\})$ .

We can ask

- How many numbers (what is m?)
- How many distinct numbers?
- What is the median of  $\sigma$ ?
- What is the most frequent number?

The input is a sequence  $\sigma = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \rangle$  where each  $a_i \in [n]$ 

One can process the input stream using at most *s bits* of memory

We say the algorithm is sublinear if  $s = o(\min \{m, n\})$ .

We can ask

▶ ...

- How many numbers (what is m?)
- How many distinct numbers?
- What is the median of  $\sigma$ ?
- What is the most frequent number?

We can maintain a counter k. Whenever one reads a number  $a_i$ , let k = k + 1.

We can maintain a counter *k*. Whenever one reads a number  $a_i$ , let k = k + 1.

How many bits of memory needed?

We can maintain a counter *k*. Whenever one reads a number  $a_i$ , let k = k + 1.

How many bits of memory needed?  $\log_2 m$ .

We can maintain a counter *k*. Whenever one reads a number  $a_i$ , let k = k + 1.

How many bits of memory needed?  $\log_2 m$ .

Can be improved to  $o(\log m)$ ?

We can maintain a counter *k*. Whenever one reads a number  $a_i$ , let k = k + 1.

How many bits of memory needed?  $\log_2 m$ .

Can be improved to  $o(\log m)$ ?

Impossible

We can maintain a counter *k*. Whenever one reads a number  $a_i$ , let k = k + 1.

How many bits of memory needed?  $\log_2 m$ .

Can be improved to  $o(\log m)$ ?

Impossible (Why?)

We can maintain a counter *k*. Whenever one reads a number  $a_i$ , let k = k + 1.

How many bits of memory needed?  $\log_2 m$ .

Can be improved to  $o(\log m)$ ?

Impossible (Why?)

Possible if allow approximation:

We can maintain a counter k. Whenever one reads a number  $a_i$ , let k = k + 1.

How many bits of memory needed?  $\log_2 m$ .

Can be improved to  $o(\log m)$ ?

Impossible (Why?)

Possible if allow approximation:

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , compute a number  $\widehat{m}$  such that

$$1-\varepsilon \leq \frac{\widehat{m}}{m} \leq 1+\varepsilon.$$

## Morris' Algorithm

## Morris' Algorithm

Algorithm Morris' Algorithm for Counting Elements

```
Init:
A variable X \leftarrow 0.
On Input y:
increase X with probability 2^{-X}.
Output:
Output \hat{m} = 2^X - 1.
```

► This is a randomized algorithm.

## Morris' Algorithm

Algorithm Morris' Algorithm for Counting Elements

```
Init:
A variable X \leftarrow 0.
On Input y:
increase X with probability 2^{-X}.
Output:
Output \hat{m} = 2^X - 1.
```

- ► This is a randomized algorithm.
- Therefore we look at the expectation of its output.

#### ANALYSIS

The output  $\widehat{m}$  is a random variable, we prove that its expectation  $\mathbf{E}[\widehat{m}] = m$  by induction on *m*.

The output  $\widehat{m}$  is a random variable, we prove that its expectation  $\mathbf{E}[\widehat{m}] = m$  by induction on *m*.

Since X = 1 when m = 1, we have  $\mathbf{E}[\widehat{m}] = 1$ .

The output  $\widehat{m}$  is a random variable, we prove that its expectation  $\mathbf{E}[\widehat{m}] = m$  by induction on *m*.

Since X = 1 when m = 1, we have  $\mathbf{E}[\widehat{m}] = 1$ .

Assume it is true for smaller *m*, let  $X_i$  denote the value of X after processing *i*th input.

## ANALYSIS (CONT'D)

## ANALYSIS (CONT'D)

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \widehat{m} \right] = \mathbf{E} \left[ 2^{X_m} \right] - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^m \mathbf{Pr} \left[ X_m = i \right] \cdot 2^i - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^m \left( \mathbf{Pr} \left[ X_{m-1} = i \right] (1 - 2^{-i}) + \mathbf{Pr} \left[ X_{m-1} = i - 1 \right] \cdot 2^{1-i} \right) \cdot 2^i - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathbf{Pr} \left[ X_{m-1} = i \right] (2^i + 1) - 1 = \mathbf{E} \left[ 2^{X_{m-1}} \right] = m \quad (induction hypothesis)$$

It is now clear that Morris' algorithm is an unbiased estimator for *m*.

It is now clear that Morris' algorithm is an unbiased estimator for *m*.

It uses approximately  $O(\log \log m)$  bits of memory.

It is now clear that Morris' algorithm is an unbiased estimator for *m*.

It uses approximately  $O(\log \log m)$  bits of memory.

However, for a practical randomized algorithm, we further require its output to concentrate on the expectation.
It is now clear that Morris' algorithm is an unbiased estimator for *m*.

It uses approximately  $O(\log \log m)$  bits of memory.

However, for a practical randomized algorithm, we further require its output to concentrate on the expectation.

That is, we want to establish concentration inequality of the form

 $\Pr\left[|\widehat{m}-m|>\varepsilon\right]\leq\delta,$ 

for  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ .

It is now clear that Morris' algorithm is an unbiased estimator for *m*.

It uses approximately  $O(\log \log m)$  bits of memory.

However, for a practical randomized algorithm, we further require its output to concentrate on the expectation.

That is, we want to establish concentration inequality of the form

 $\Pr\left[|\widehat{m}-m|>\varepsilon\right]\leq\delta,$ 

for  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ .

For fixed  $\varepsilon$ , the smaller  $\delta$  is, the better the algorithm will be.

We need some probabilistic tools to establish the concentration inequality.

We need some probabilistic tools to establish the concentration inequality.

#### Markov's inequality

For every nonnegative random variable *X* and every  $a \ge 0$ , it holds that

$$\Pr\left[X \ge a\right] \le \frac{\mathrm{E}\left[X\right]}{a}.$$

We need some probabilistic tools to establish the concentration inequality.

#### Markov's inequality

For every nonnegative random variable *X* and every  $a \ge 0$ , it holds that

$$\Pr\left[X \ge a\right] \le \frac{\mathrm{E}\left[X\right]}{a}.$$

#### **Chebyshev's inequality**

For every random variable *X* and every  $a \ge 0$ , it holds that

$$\Pr\left[|X - \mathbf{E}\left[X\right]| \ge a\right] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left[X\right]}{a^2}$$

In order to apply Chebyshev's inequality, we have to compute the variance of  $\widehat{m}$ .

#### Lemma

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(2^{\chi_m}\right)^2\right] = \frac{3}{2}m^2 + \frac{3}{2}m + 1.$$

In order to apply Chebyshev's inequality, we have to compute the variance of  $\widehat{m}$ .

#### Lemma

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(2^{\chi_m}\right)^2\right] = \frac{3}{2}m^2 + \frac{3}{2}m + 1.$$

We can prove the claim using an induction argument similar to our proof for the expectation.

In order to apply Chebyshev's inequality, we have to compute the variance of  $\widehat{m}$ .

#### Lemma

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(2^{\chi_m}\right)^2\right] = \frac{3}{2}m^2 + \frac{3}{2}m + 1.$$

We can prove the claim using an induction argument similar to our proof for the expectation.

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{Var}\left[\widehat{m}\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{m}^{2}\right] - \mathbf{E}\left[\widehat{m}\right]^{2} = \mathbf{E}\left[\left(2^{\chi_{m}} - 1\right)^{2}\right] - m^{2} \le \frac{m^{2}}{2}$$

Applying Chebyshev's inequality, we obtain for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\Pr\left[|\widehat{m} - m| \ge \varepsilon m\right] \le \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}.$$

Applying Chebyshev's inequality, we obtain for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[|\widehat{m}-m|\geq\varepsilon m\right]\leq\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}.$$

Can we improve the concentration?

Applying Chebyshev's inequality, we obtain for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[|\widehat{m}-m|\geq\varepsilon m\right]\leq\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}.$$

Can we improve the concentration?

Two common tricks work here.

The Chebyshev's inequality tells us that we can improve the concentration by reducing the variance.

The Chebyshev's inequality tells us that we can improve the concentration by reducing the variance.

Note that variance satisfies

- Var  $[a \cdot X] = a^2 \cdot$ Var [X];
- $\operatorname{Var}[X + Y] = \operatorname{Var}[X] + \operatorname{Var}[Y]$  for independent X and Y.

The Chebyshev's inequality tells us that we can improve the concentration by reducing the variance.

Note that variance satisfies

- Var  $[a \cdot X] = a^2 \cdot \operatorname{Var} [X];$
- $\operatorname{Var}[X + Y] = \operatorname{Var}[X] + \operatorname{Var}[Y]$  for independent *X* and *Y*.

We can independently run Morris algorithm *t* time in parallel, and let the outputs be  $\widehat{m}_1, \ldots, \widehat{m}_t$ .

The Chebyshev's inequality tells us that we can improve the concentration by reducing the variance.

Note that variance satisfies

- Var  $[a \cdot X] = a^2 \cdot$ Var [X];
- $\operatorname{Var}[X + Y] = \operatorname{Var}[X] + \operatorname{Var}[Y]$  for independent *X* and *Y*.

We can independently run Morris algorithm *t* time in parallel, and let the outputs be  $\widehat{m}_1, \ldots, \widehat{m}_t$ .

The final output is  $\widehat{m}^* := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t \widehat{m}_i}{t}$ .

The Chebyshev's inequality tells us that we can improve the concentration by reducing the variance.

Note that variance satisfies

- $\blacktriangleright \mathbf{Var} [a \cdot X] = a^2 \cdot \mathbf{Var} [X];$
- $\operatorname{Var}[X + Y] = \operatorname{Var}[X] + \operatorname{Var}[Y]$  for independent X and Y.

We can independently run Morris algorithm *t* time in parallel, and let the outputs be  $\widehat{m}_1, \ldots, \widehat{m}_t$ .

The final output is  $\widehat{m}^* := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t \widehat{m}_i}{t}$ .

Apply Chebyshev's inequality to  $\widehat{m}^*$ :

$$\Pr\left[|\widehat{m}^* - m| \ge \varepsilon m\right] \le \frac{1}{t \cdot 2\varepsilon^2}.$$

For 
$$t \ge \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2 \delta}$$
, we have  
 $\Pr\left[|\widehat{m}^* - m| \ge \varepsilon m\right] \le \delta$ .

For 
$$t \ge \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2 \delta}$$
, we have  
 $\Pr\left[|\widehat{m}^* - m| \ge \varepsilon m\right] \le \delta$ .

# Our algorithm uses $O\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\epsilon^2 \delta}\right)$ bits of memory.

For 
$$t \ge \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2 \delta}$$
, we have  
 $\Pr\left[|\widehat{m}^* - m| \ge \varepsilon m\right] \le \delta$ .

## Our algorithm uses $O\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\epsilon^2 \delta}\right)$ bits of memory.

A trade-off between the quality of the randomized algorithm and the consumption of memory space.

## The Median trick

## THE MEDIAN TRICK

We choose  $t = \frac{3}{2\epsilon^2}$  in the previous algorithm.

#### THE MEDIAN TRICK

We choose  $t = \frac{3}{2\epsilon^2}$  in the previous algorithm.

Independently run the algorithm *s* times in parallel, and let the outputs be  $\widehat{m}_1^*, \widehat{m}_2^*, \ldots, \widehat{m}_s^*$ .

#### THE MEDIAN TRICK

We choose  $t = \frac{3}{2\epsilon^2}$  in the previous algorithm.

Independently run the algorithm *s* times in parallel, and let the outputs be  $\widehat{m}_1^*, \widehat{m}_2^*, \ldots, \widehat{m}_s^*$ .

It holds that for every  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ ,

$$\Pr\left[\left|\widehat{m}_{i}^{*}-m\right|\geq\varepsilon m\right]\leq\frac{1}{3}.$$

Output the median of  $\widehat{m}_1^*, \ldots, \widehat{m}_s^* \quad (=: \widehat{m}^{**}).$ 



#### **Chernoff bound**

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables with  $X_i \in [0, 1]$  for every  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then for every  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , it holds that

$$\Pr\left[|X - \mathbf{E}[X]| > \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{E}[X]\right] \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{E}[X]}{3}\right).$$

#### **Analysis of the median trick**

For every i = 1, ..., s, we let  $Y_i$  be the indicator of the (good) event

 $\left|\widehat{m}_{i}^{*}-m\right|<\varepsilon\cdot m.$ 

For every i = 1, ..., s, we let  $Y_i$  be the indicator of the (good) event

 $\left|\widehat{m}_{i}^{*}-m\right|<\varepsilon\cdot m.$ 

Then  $Y := \sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i$  satisfies  $\mathbf{E}[Y] \ge \frac{2}{3}s$ .

For every i = 1, ..., s, we let  $Y_i$  be the indicator of the (good) event

 $\left|\widehat{m}_{i}^{*}-m\right|<\varepsilon\cdot m.$ 

Then  $Y := \sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i$  satisfies  $\mathbf{E}[Y] \ge \frac{2}{3}s$ .

If the median  $\widehat{m}^{**}$  is bad (namely  $|\widehat{m}^{**} - m| \ge \varepsilon \cdot m$ ), then at least half of  $\widehat{m}_i^{**}$ 's are bad.

For every i = 1, ..., s, we let  $Y_i$  be the indicator of the (good) event

 $\left|\widehat{m}_{i}^{*}-m\right|<\varepsilon\cdot m.$ 

Then  $Y := \sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i$  satisfies  $\mathbf{E}[Y] \ge \frac{2}{3}s$ .

If the median  $\widehat{m}^{**}$  is bad (namely  $|\widehat{m}^{**} - m| \ge \varepsilon \cdot m$ ), then at least half of  $\widehat{m}_i^{**}$ 's are bad.

Equivalently,  $Y \leq \frac{1}{2}s$ .

For every i = 1, ..., s, we let  $Y_i$  be the indicator of the (good) event

 $\left|\widehat{m}_{i}^{*}-m\right|<\varepsilon\cdot m.$ 

Then  $Y := \sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i$  satisfies  $\mathbf{E}[Y] \ge \frac{2}{3}s$ .

If the median  $\widehat{m}^{**}$  is bad (namely  $|\widehat{m}^{**} - m| \ge \varepsilon \cdot m$ ), then at least half of  $\widehat{m}_i^{**}$ 's are bad.

Equivalently,  $Y \leq \frac{1}{2}s$ .

By Chernoff bound,

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[|Y - \mathbf{E}[Y]| \ge \frac{1}{6}s\right] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{s}{108}\right).$$

Therefore, for 
$$t = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$$
 and  $s = O\left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$ , we have  
 $\Pr\left[|\widehat{m}^{**} - m| \ge \varepsilon m\right] < \delta.$ 

Therefore, for 
$$t = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$$
 and  $s = O\left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$ , we have  
 $\Pr\left[|\widehat{m}^{**} - m| \ge \varepsilon m\right] < \delta.$   
We use  $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \log \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot \log \log n\right)$  bits of memory.