# Advanced Algorithms (X)

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Chihao Zhang

May 11, 2020

## Estimate $\pi$

One can design a Monte-Carlo algorithm to estimate the value of  $\pi$ 



$$X_i \sim \operatorname{Ber}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right), \quad \operatorname{E}[Z_n] = \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot n$$

#### Therefore, by Chernoff bound

$$\Pr\left[\left|Z_n - \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot n\right| \ge \varepsilon \cdot \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot n\right] \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \pi n}{12}\right)$$

If  $n \ge \frac{12}{\varepsilon^2 \pi} \log \frac{2}{\delta}$ , we have an  $1 \pm \varepsilon$  approximation of  $\pi$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ 

# **Rejection Sampling**

The method is often called rejection sampling

It is useful to estimate the size of some good sets in a large set



The number of samples is proportional to  $\frac{|A|}{|B|}$ 

## **Counting DNF**

A DNF formula 
$$\varphi = C_1 \lor C_2 \lor \cdots \lor C_m, \ C_i = \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\ell_i} x_{ij}$$



B = satisfying assignments

$$A = all assignments$$

 $\varphi$  may contain only polynomial many solutions

The Monte Carlo method using rejection sampling is slow!

#### For each clause $C_i$ , define the set

 $S_i :=$  the set of assignments satisfying  $C_i$ 

We want to estimate

$$\bigcup_{1 \le i \le m} S_i$$

The number of samples is  
proportional to 
$$\frac{|A|}{|B|}$$

$$B = \bigcup_{1 < i < m} S_i$$

$$A = \bigcup S_i$$

 $1 \le i \le m$ (disjoint union)

## How about CNF?

We consider a very special case: monotone 2-CNF

 $\varphi = (x \lor y) \land (x \lor z) \land (x \lor w) \land (y \lor w)$ 



 $#\varphi = #$  of independent sets

Sampling seems to be harder than DNF case...

Rejection sampling is correct but inefficient

A natural idea is to resample those violated edges...

Unfortunately, this is not correct.



# Partial Rejection Sampling

Guo, Jerrum and Liu (JACM, 2019) proposed the following fix:

"Resample violated vertices and their neighbors"

We will prove the correctness and analyze its efficiency next week

### From Sampling to Counting

We will show that, in many cases, if one can sample from a space, then he can also estimate the size of the space

Consider independent sets again

$$G = (V, E), E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$$

We want to estimate I(G), the number of i.s. in G

Define 
$$G_0 = G, G_i = G_{i-1} - e_i$$
  
$$|I(G)| = |I(G_0)| = \frac{|I(G_0)|}{|I(G_1)|} \cdot \frac{|I(G_1)|}{|I(G_2)|} \cdots \frac{|I(G_{m-1})|}{|I(G_m)|} \cdot |I(G_m)|$$



 $A = I(G_i)$ 

$$B = I(G_{i+1})$$

$$\frac{|A|}{|B|}$$
 can't be too large!



 $2^n$ 

## From Counting to Sampling

On the other hand, one can consecutively sample each vertex as long as  $Pr[v \in I]$  is known

The value can be obtained via a counting oracle

The above two reductions require the system to satisfy "self-reducible" property